



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,913	01/15/2002	James Patrick Goodwin	23452-506	4962
909	7590 11/07/2005		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			STORK, KYLE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2178	
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/044,913	GOODWIN ET AL.	
]	Stephen S. Hong	2178	
Document Code - AP.PRE	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Thi	his is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revie	ew filed Octob	<u>er 3, 2005</u> .
	 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	d a conference	e will not be held for the following
	 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred ☐ The request does not include reasons why a revious A proposed amendment is included with the Preference ☐ Other: . 	iew is appropi	riate.
	The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice		
	2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 5 brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decis running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	re is at least of 37 CFR 41.37 sion, or the ba er is greater.	one actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal lance of the two-month time period Further, the time period for filing of the
	The panel has determined the status of the clair Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	m(s) is as folk	ows:
	3. Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remain applicant at this time.		
	4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by application.	eld. The rejecti plicant at this	ion is withdrawn and a new Office time.
All	All participants:		Stufon
(1)) <u>Stephen S. Hong</u> .	(3)	STEPHEN HONG PUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(2)	t) <u>Kyle Stork</u> .	(4)	